



Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds

Volume 7 Number 5 December 2016

ISSN 2141-6591



*Academic
Journals*

ABOUT JCO

The **Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds (JCO)** is published weekly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds (JCO) is an open access journal that provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as: Effects of Zinc fertilizer application on the incidence of rice stem borers, effect of extraction conditions on the yield and quality of oil from castor bean, effects of plant density of intercropped soybean with tall sorghum on competitive ability of soybean and economic yield, *in vitro* and *in vivo* responses of different treating agents against wilt disease of safflower etc.

The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles published in JCO are peer-reviewed.

Contact Us

Editorial Office: jco@academicjournals.org

Help Desk: helpdesk@academicjournals.org

Website: <http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/JCO>

Submit manuscript online <http://ms.academicjournals.me/>

Editors

Dr P.K. Agrawal

*Principal Scientist Biotechnology Unit
Division of Crop Improvement
Vivekanand Institute of Hill Agriculture
(Indian Council of Agriculture Research)
Almora-263601 Uttarakhand
India*

Prof He Yong

*College of Biosystem Engineering & Food
Science Zhejiang University 268 Kaixuan Rd
Hangzhou 310029 Zhejiang, China*

Dr. Mohamed Fawzy Ramadan Hassanien

*Biochemistry Department, Faculty of Agriculture
Zagazig university, Zagazig 44511, Zagazig, Egypt*

Prof. Dr. Bahaa El Din Mekki

*Field Crops Research Department, National
Research Center, El - Bohoos St (El- Tahrir) -
Dokki - Giza - Egypt*

Dr. Héctor Eduardo Martínez Flores

*Chemical Pharmacology Faculty of the
Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de
Hidalgo, Morelia Michoacán, México*

Dr. Dimitrios J. Bilalis

*Agricultural University of Athens, Department of
Crop Science, Lab. Of Crop Production, Iera Odos
75, 10445, Athens, Greece*

Dr. Mathias Schmidt

*Herbresearch Germany
Wartbergweg 15 D-86874 Mattsies
Germany*

Dr. Howard Qi

*Michigan Technological University
1400 Townsend Dr., Houghton, MI 49931,
U.S.A.*

Dr. Florence M'mogi Olubayo

*Dept. of Plant Science and Crop Protection
University of Nairobi, P.O. Box 30197, Nairobi
Kenya*

Editorial Board

Dr. Arash Kianianmomeni

*Department of Cellular and Developmental
Biology of Plants University of Bielefeld
D-33615 Bielefeld, Germany.*

Dr. Paunescu Gabriela

*Agriculture And Development Research Station Simnic
Craiova, Address Balcesti Street No 54 Simnic Craiova
County Dolj, Romania.*

Dr. Ayo Jerome Adekunle

*Joseph Ayo Babalola University Ikeji- Arakeji
Address PMB 5006 Ilesha Osun State, Nigeria.*

Prof A. Zaman

*Department of Agronomy BCKV Mohanpur-741 252,
West Bengal India.*

Dr. Wei Wang

*College of Life Science Henan Agricultural University
Wenhua Road 95 450002 Zhengzhou, China.*

Dr. P.K. Viswanathan

*Gujarat Institute of Development Research
(GIDR), Gota Ahmedabad - 380 060 Gujarat, India.*

Dr. Svetoslav Todorov

*University of Sao Paulo Faculty of Pharmaceutical
Sciences Sao Paulo, Brasil.*

Dr. Pitipong Thobunluepop

*Faculty of Technology Department of Plant
Production Technology Mahasarakham University
Talard Sub-District Muang District Maha
Sarakhm 44000, Thailand.*

Dr. Devarajan Thangadurai

*Department of Botany Karnatak University
Dharwad Karnataka 580003, India.*

Dr. Supradip Saha

*NRM Division V.P.K.A.S. Almora Uttarakhand-263 601,
Indian.*

Prof. Kuldeep Singh

*GS Khush Laboratories School of Agricultural
Biotechnology Punjab Agricultural University
Ludhiana 141 004, India .*

Dr. Bita Naseri

*Department of Plant Protection Agricultural and Natural
Resources Research Center PO Box 4519-51494, Zanjan
Iran.*

Dr. P. K. Das Mohapatra

*Department of Microbiology vidyasagar University
midnapore - 721 102 west Bengal India.*

Dr. Mehdi Toloo

*No. 136, Forsate Shirazi st., Islamic Azad University,
Central Tehran Branch, Tehran, P. O. Box 13185.768.
Iran.*

Prof. Dr. Bahaa El Din Mekki

*Field Crops Research Department National Research
Center El - Bohoos St (El- Tahrir) - Dokki - Giza -
Egypt.*

Dr. Arash Kianianmomeni

*Department of Cellular and Developmental
Biology of Plants University of Bielefeld
D-33615 Bielefeld, Germany.*

Dr. Dattatreya M. Kadam

*Division of Food Grains and Oilseeds Processing
Central Institute of Post-Harvest Engineering and
Technology (CIPHET) PO: PAU Ludhiana-141004
Punjab, India.*

Dr. Navin Kumar Jain

*AICRP on Cropping Systems (ECF)
Department of Agronomy
Rajasthan College of Agriculture
Udaipur-313 001, India.*

Dr. Mohammad Nazmul Islam

*Associate Professor and Head Department of Civil
Engineering Presidency University 11A, Road 92
Gulshan 2 Dhaka 1212 Bangladesh.*

Dr. Luis Héctor Hernández Hdez

*Laboratorio de Producción Acuícola UNAM FES
Iztacala Av. de los Barrios 1, Los Reyes Iztacala
Tlalnepantla, Edo. de México.*

Dr. Baragur Neelappa Divakara

*Global Theme on crop improvement International
Crops Research Institute for the Semi Arid Tropics
(ICRISAT) Patancheru - 502 324 Andhra Pradesh
India.*

ARTICLES

- Response of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) to fertilizer application and weeds control in South-Eastern Highlands of Ethiopia** 44
Abebe Delesa and Adane Choferie

Full Length Research Paper

Response of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) to fertilizer application and weeds control in South-Eastern Highlands of Ethiopia

Abebe Delesa* and Adane Choferie

Kulumsa Agricultural Research Center, P. O. Box 489, Asella, Ethiopia.

Received 23 March, 2015; Accepted 20 May, 2015

The effect of N and P fertilizers and weeds control on yield and yield components of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) were studied in South-Eastern highlands of Ethiopia at four locations during 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 main cropping seasons. Split plot design with three replications was used. The main plot was allocated for four weeding frequencies and sub-plot was allocated for eight fertilizer rates. Results indicated that tiller numbers, pod numbers and final seed yield of linseed were significantly influenced by the effects of N and P fertilizers and weeds control treatments. Results showed that W4F5 treatment produced 58% seed yield advantage over the control in combined years over locations. Similarly, W4F6 produced seed yield advantage of 57% over the control in combined years over locations. Moreover, this study revealed that W4F5 treatment resulted in 38 and 43% increment in number of pods/plant and seed yield/plant, respectively compared to the control. Thus, the present results showed that treatments W4F5 to W4F6 were superior agronomic practices for linseed production in South-Eastern highlands of Ethiopia. Likewise, twice hand weeding alone brought 53% seed yield increment as compared to unweeded check. Besides, twice hand weeding resulted in 45 and 38% increment in number of pods/plant and seed yield/plant, respectively as compared unweeded check. Results have shown that dominant broadleaved and grass weeds vary across locations. The results of economic analysis also indicated that the highest net benefits were obtained from twice hand weeding and application of 23/23 kg/ha N/P₂O₅ to 23/34.5 kg/ha N/P₂O₅.

Key words: Fertilizer, linseed, weed.

INTRODUCTION

Linseed, *Linum usitatissimum* L., is an oil seed crop in the family Linaceae. Evidence of use by humans dates back to about 8,000 B.C. in the Fertile Crescent (Adugna, 2007; Vaisey-Genser and Morris, 2010). Typically, linseed consists of approximately 40% fat, 28% dietary fiber, 21% protein, 4% ash, and 6% carbohydrates

(Vaisey-Genser and Morris, 2010). Linseed has wide uses: it is a source of food, feed, fiber, oil, medicine, industrial raw material and export commodity. Linseed possesses a very healthy fatty acids (linoleic-Omega 6 and alpha-linolenic acids or Omega 3). Linseed cake is rich in microelements, vitamins, dietary cellulose, and

*Corresponding author. E-mail: abeararsa@gmail.com. Tel: +251-911018725.

proteins (up to 38%) (Altai, 2010). Linseed oil has been used as a drying agent for paints, varnishes, linoleum, lacquer, and printing ink. Medicinal uses of linseed include promotes heart health, lowers cholesterol, protects against strokes, lowers blood pressure, used for constipation and helps guard against breast cancer and other cancers (Budwig, 1994; Connor, 2000).

Ethiopia is the fifth largest producer of linseed in the world next to Canada, China, USA and India (Adugna, 2007). In 2008/2009, Ethiopia allocated 181 thousand hectares of land for linseed and produced 0.16 million tons (CSA, 2009). Likewise, Arsi and West Arsi Zones alone allocated about 74 thousand hectares of land and produced 72 thousand tons of linseed. In other words, 57% of the areas allocated for linseed production in Oromiya Region and 41% of the areas allocated for linseed production in the country as a whole had come from Arsi and West Arsi Zones. Besides, 61% of total linseed production in Oromiya Region and 46% of linseed production in Ethiopia was obtained from these zones (CSA, 2009).

Despite numerous uses of linseed, large areas allocation, and many years production, tradition linseed productivity is very low in Ethiopia (0.86 t/ha) (CSA, 2009). Linseed production in Ethiopia is characterized by low input, low yield and poor product quality mainly due to attitude and poor management practices such as lack of proper weed management system, poor seed and field hygiene, poor seed bed preparation, inadequate plant nutrition, inappropriate seeding rate, improper threshing ground and improper cleaning. On the other hand, using improved varieties along with good agronomic practices gave as high as 2.2 t/ha on research fields and some model farmers managed to produce as high as 2.0 t/ha when supported with improved seed of linseed, intensive training and close supervision (KARC, 2012).

Weeds are plants that are competitive, persistent, and pernicious (Ross and Lembi, 1985). In general, weeds cause loss of crop yield, loss of crop quality, stumble export, limit the choices of crop rotation sequences and cultural practices, harbor other crop pests, interfere with crop harvesting, necessitate extra cleaning and processing procedure, and lead to increased transportation cost. Crops vary widely in their ability to compete with weeds. In general, the competitive ability of a crop is related to its ability to access resources including light, water and nutrients. Generally speaking, crops with vigorous growth that reduce the quality and quantity of light beneath the crop canopy are the most competitive (Buhler, 2002). Specific characteristics that tend to influence a crop's competitive ability include: rapid germination and emergence, rapid biomass accumulation and ground cover, leaf characteristics (leaf area index), flag leaf length and angle, canopy structure (ability to intercept light), tillering capacity, and height. In fact, linseed cannot compete effectively with weeds, particularly up to two months after sowing; therefore,

early removal of weeds is important before flowering and a yield reduction of up to 56% can be incurred depending on the infestation level (Rezene, 1992). Similarly, weeds caused 53% yield reduction in linseed in USA (Bridges, 1992).

Hence, weed control measure is a major and unavoidable operation for linseed production. Hand weeding is still by far the most widely practiced cultural weed control technique in field crop production throughout the country mainly due to the prohibitive costs of herbicides and fear of toxic residue coupled with lack of knowledge and clothings for their use at subsistence farmers' level.

Mean while, plants need certain nutrients to grow and prosper. On the other hand, primary macronutrients, namely N, P, and K can virtually be found in every brand of fertilizer on the market. In fact, many natural soils are deficient in certain nutrients that must be supplied through fertilizer, either organic or inorganic.

In Ethiopia, linseed is being produced under rainfed, low input and poor management. Concerning fertilizer utilization for linseed production, 89% of the farmers apply neither organic (manure, compost, etc.) nor inorganic fertilizer (DAP, Urea, etc.) (Abebe et al., 2011). Only 7% of farmers apply 11 to 20 kg/ha DAP. On the other hand, national fertilizer recommendation for linseed production is 23/23 kg/ha N and P₂O₅ (50 kg/ha DAP and 30 kg/ha Urea). Similarly, majority of farmers neither hand weed nor spray herbicides to control weeds on linseed fields. Only limited number of farmers carry out late and selective hand weeding for broad leaved weeds leaving grass weeds to compete with the crop. Even some farmers allow their sheep in side linseed fields assuming that they could selectively graze on grass weeds.

Therefore, the objectives of this study were: to investigate the effects of weeding frequencies and fertilizer rates on linseed seed yield and yield components.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental sites

This research was conducted at Kulumsa (8°02' N latitude and 39°10' E longitude), Bekoji (7°05' N latitude and 39°30' E longitude), Asasa (7°08' N latitude and 39°13' E longitude) and Kofele (not available) research stations located in the South-Eastern highlands of Ethiopia with an altitude ranging from 2200 m (Kulumsa) to 2780 m (Bekoji). Mean annual rainfall ranges from 830 mm (Kulumsa) to 1211 mm (Kofele). Besides, mean annual minimum temperature varies from 5.8 (Asasa) to 10.5 (Kulumsa) degree celsius and mean annual maximum temperature varies from 18 (Kofele) to 23.6 (Asasa) degree celsius. Chernozems, nitosol, loam and luvisol are soil type characters of Asasa, Bekoji, Kofele and Kulumsa sites, respectively. Soil samples were taken during planting and after harvesting from the experimental fields, were analyzed in the soil and plant analysis laboratory of Kulumsa Agricultural Research Center (KARC) (Tables 1 and 2).

Table 1. Soil characteristics (0-20 cm depth) of the experimental sites (Before planting).

Location	pH	P (ppm)	Total N (%)
Kulumsa	5.78	19.63	0.17
Bekoji	5.30	16.25	0.24
Asasa	5.13	31.00	0.16
Kofele	5.08	15.13	0.30
Mean	5.33	20.47	0.22

Table 2. Soil characteristics (0-20 cm depth) of the experimental sites (After harvesting).

Location	pH	P (ppm)	Total N (%)
Kulumsa	5.95	21.0	0.166
Bekoji	5.20	14.2	0.216
Asasa	5.83	23.3	0.158
Kofele	4.80	13.21	0.276
Mean	5.44	17.93	0.204

Experimental treatments, design and data

The experiment was conducted during the 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 main cropping seasons. The experimental fields were ploughed once and disced twice prior to planting using mold-board and disc ploughs, respectively. Split plot design with three replications was used. The main plot was allocated for four weeding frequencies (W1=no weeding, W2= once weeding at 30 to 35 days after sowing, W3=once weeding at 55 to 60 days after sowing, W4=twice weeding at W2 and W3) and sub-plot was allocated for eight fertilizer rates (F1=No fertilizer, F2=11.5/11.5 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F3=11.5/23 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F4=23/11.5 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F5=23/23 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F6=23/34.5 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F7=34.5/23 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F8=34.5/34.5 kg/ha N and P₂O₅). Diammonium phosphate (DAP) and urea were used as a source of N and P₂O₅ based on calculation. Linseed variety 'Tolle' was used and sown at a seed rate of 25 kg/ha. Each treatment was planted in a plot consisting of six rows of 5 m long with spacing of 20 cm between rows. The distance between replications was 2 m. Disease or insect control chemicals were not applied during the growth of linseed. On the other hand, all other recommended cultural practices were properly followed in order to successfully grow the crop. Sowing was done from second week of June to first week of July each season. As a crop rotation, linseed followed either wheat or barley in both years. Data was collected both on plot and 10 plant basis on 11 parameters including stand percentage, date of 50% flowering and 90% maturity, plant height, lodging%, 1000-seed weight and seed yield. After threshing seeds were cleaned, weighed and adjusted to 7% moisture content. Total seed yield recorded on plot basis was converted to kg/ha for statistical analysis.

The data collected were subjected to analysis using MSTATC and SAS softwares (SAS, 2004). Moreover, partial budget analysis was performed in order to evaluate the economic feasibility of the treatments (CIMMYT, 1988).

Partial budget analysis

Variable cost of fertilizer and weeding was used for partial budget analysis. Price fluctuations during the production season were considered. Marginal Rate of Return (MRR), which refers to net

income obtained by incurring a unit cost of input, was calculated by dividing the net increase in yield of linseed due to the application of each input to the total cost of each input applied at each rate. This enables us to identify the optimum rate of fertilizer and weeding frequency for linseed production.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Weed Flora

Different weed species belonging to genera and plant families were identified, including broadleaved and grassy weeds (Table 3). *Convolvulus arvensis* L. was quite dominant broad leaved weed species at Kulumsa comprising 65% of weeds density. However, *Corrigiola capensis* was the dominant broad leaved weed followed by *Taraxacum* spp. and *Daucus carota* at Bekoji. On the other hand, at Kofele major broad leaved species were *Galinsoga parviflora*, *Plantago lanceolata* L., and *Polygonum* spp. Considering grass weed species *Setaria faberii*, *Phalaris paradoxa* and *Eragrostis* spp. were the dominant species at Kulumsa, Bekoji and Kofele, respectively (Table 3). Hence, listed weed species were among the most important linseed yield limiting species in these areas that need to be controlled by employing integrated weed management options.

Linseed is weak especially at seedling stage and a poor competitor to weeds as compared to other oil crops. The critical period of weed competition in linseed varies from three to eight weeks or from early establishment to early flowering stage. Getinet and Nigusie (1997) reported that linseed should receive an early (3 to 4 weeks after sowing) weeding to be followed by a one mid season one. Weeding linseed during or after flowering is not a gain but a loss of time, energy and money mainly due to high competition between the weed and the crop

Table 3. Major weed flora, their classification and density at Kulumsa, Bekoji and Kofele in 2009/2010 and 2010/2011.

Location	Scientific name	Classification	Weeds density (%)	Scientific name	Classification	Weeds density (%)
Kulumsa	<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> L.	Dicot	64.7	<i>Setaria faberii</i>	Monocot	54.0
	<i>Amaranthus graecizans</i> L.	"	5.2	<i>Phalaris paradoxa</i>	"	29.0
	<i>Corrigiola capensis</i>	"	4.0	<i>Digitaria abyssinica</i>	"	12.0
	<i>Taraxacum</i> spp.	"	2.4	<i>Andropogon</i> spp.	"	3.0
	<i>Anagallis</i> spp.	"	2.0	<i>Snowdenia polystachya</i>	"	1.0
	<i>Polygonum</i> spp.	"	1.6	-	-	-
	<i>Galinsoga parviflora</i>	"	1.4	-	-	-
Bekoji	<i>Corrigiola capensis</i>	Dicot	26.0	<i>Phalaris paradoxa</i>	Monocot	70.0
	<i>Taraxacum</i> spp.	"	14.0	<i>Eragrostis</i> spp.	"	10.0
	<i>Daucus carota</i>	"	14.0	<i>Juncus compressus</i>	"	8.0
	<i>Rumex</i> spp.	"	11.0	<i>Bromus pectinatus</i>	"	5.0
	<i>Polygonum</i> spp.	"	10.0	<i>Panicum</i> spp.	"	4.0
	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i> L.	"	10.0	<i>Digitaria abyssinica</i>	"	1.4
	<i>Anagallis</i> spp.	"	5.0	<i>Avena fatua</i>	"	1.0
	<i>Ganaphalium</i> spp.	"	5.0	-	-	-
<i>Trifolium</i> spp.	"	5.0	-	-	-	
Kofele	<i>Galinsoga parviflora</i>	Dicot	38.0	<i>Eragrostis</i> spp.	Monocot	59.4
	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i> L.	"	27.0	<i>Phalaris paradoxa</i>	"	19.0
	<i>Polygonum</i> spp.	"	17.0	<i>Panicum maxicum</i>	"	17.0
	<i>Ganaphalium</i> spp.	"	5.3	<i>Digitaria abyssinica</i>	"	2.0
	<i>Corrigiola capensis</i>	"	4.1	<i>Cyperus esculantus</i> L.	"	1.5
	<i>Trifolium</i> spp.	"	3.4	-	-	-
<i>Taraxacum</i> spp.	"	1.5	-	-	-	

for space, nutrition, moisture and light.

Effect of fertilizer rates and weeding frequency on yield and yield components of linseed

The combined analysis of variance over locations and years revealed that significant ($P \leq 0.05$) main effects occurred for all traits evaluated including day to flowering, days to maturity, powdery mildew, pasmo and wilt diseases, plant height, number of tillers/plant, number of pods/plant, seed yield/plant, stand percentage and seed yield/plot for weeding frequencies. Likewise, weeds control practiced and fertilizer rates interacted significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) for all traits. The results are inconsistent with Getachew et al. (2006).

Interaction of weeding frequency and fertilizer rate was significant ($P \leq 0.05$) for seed yield (kg/ha) for individual years but non significant ($P \leq 0.05$) for combined locations over years (Table 4). In general, the 2009/2010 cropping season was bad year in terms of crops productivity compared to 2010/2011 cropping season mainly due to heavy and prolonged rainfall that in turn resulted in poor seed bed preparation, poor weed control, low

photosynthesis efficiency, flowers abortion and low seed set. Yet, interaction of weeding frequencies and fertilizer rates effect showed consistent results over years. The present results showed that W4F5 treatment produced consistently the highest yield for individual years as well as for combined years over locations (Table 4). In other words, W4F5 treatment produced 333, 554 and 444 kg/ha seed yield advantage over the control (W1F1) in 2009/2010, 2010/2011 and in combined years over locations. That is, W4F5 treatment produced 51, 64 and 58% seed yield advantage over the control in the first, the second and in combined years over locations, respectively. Moreover, the present results revealed that W3F5 treatment produced 43, 29 and 35% seed yield advantage over the control in the first year, the second year and in combined years over locations, respectively. Similarly, W2F5 produced 34, 28 and 31% seed yield advantage over the control in the first, the second and in combined years over locations, respectively (Table 4). Furthermore, W4F6 produced seed yield advantage of 45, 67 and 57% over the control in the first year, the second year and in combined years over locations, respectively.

However, W1F5 treatment produced 13 and 6% seed

Table 4. Effect of weeding frequencies and fertilizer rates on seed yield of linseed ('Tolle' variety) in 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 at Asasa, Bekoji, Kulumsa and Kofele.

Treatment	Seed yield (kg/ha)		
	2009/2010	2010/2011	Mean
W1F1	653	869	761
W1F2	727	867	797
W1F3	704	835	769
W1F4	679	805	742
W1F5	676	766	721
W1F6	712	774	743
W1F7	625	827	726
W1F8	610	818	714
W2F1	708	1194	951
W2F2	796	1245	1020
W2F3	920	1225	1072
W2F4	832	1233	1033
W2F5	876	1115	996
W2F6	806	1217	1011
W2F7	844	1317	1080
W2F8	843	1252	1048
W3F1	905	1244	1075
W3F2	791	1172	981
W3F3	863	1207	1035
W3F4	890	1169	1030
W3F5	936	1120	1028
W3F6	982	1202	1092
W3F7	801	1185	993
W3F8	862	1210	1036
W4F1	841	1324	1082
W4F2	796	1282	1039
W4F3	867	1355	1111
W4F4	949	1354	1151
W4F5	986	1423	1205
W4F6	945	1447	1196
W4F7	963	1377	1170
W4F8	913	1466	1189
Mean	822	1153	987
LSD (5%)	191.5	166.3	NS
CV%	28.97	17.94	22.59

W1=No weeding, W2=Once weeding at 30 to 35 days after sowing, W3=Once weeding at 55 to 60 days after sowing, W4=Twice weeding at W2 and W3, F1=No fertilizer, F2=11.5/11.5 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F3=11.5/23 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F4=23/11.5 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F5=23/23 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F6=23/34.5 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F7=34.5/23 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F8=34.5/34.5 kg/ha N and P₂O₅; NS=non significant at 0.05 probability level.

yield penalty over the control in the second and combined years, respectively. Similar trends were observed when W4F6 treatment was used. This showed that adding fertilizer without weeds control practices resulted in double losses, once in terms of seed yield reduction and the other was in terms of cost of fertilizer or loss of money to purchase fertilizer. This result also showed that weeds became more competent and

vigorous, if fertilizer was added without weeding the crop and would result in more yield loss.

Based on results of combined locations over years, interaction of weeding frequencies and fertilizer rates showed significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) for yield components like plant height, number of tillers/plant and number of pods/plant (Table 5). However, significant difference was not observed for seed yield/plant. This

Table 5. Effect of weeding frequency and fertilizer rates on yield and yield components of linseed in 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 at Asasa, Bekoji, Kulumsa and Kofele

Treatment	Days to		Disease score (0-5)			PH (cm)	T/pl	P/pl	Y/pl	Stand (%)	Yld
	Flower	Maturity	PM	PA	Wilt						
W1F1	84	151	1.2	0.3	0.3	82	2.2	21	0.7	81	761
W1F2	83	151	1.1	0.3	0.3	86	2.2	23	0.8	76	797
W1F3	83	151	1.2	0.3	0.2	85	2.3	23	0.8	82	769
W1F4	83	150	1.1	0.3	0.2	84	2.1	20	0.7	82	742
W1F5	83	151	1.2	0.3	0.2	84	2.2	23	0.8	77	721
W1F6	83	152	1.2	0.4	0.2	88	2.1	22	0.8	77	743
W1F7	83	151	1.2	0.4	0.3	87	2.5	22	0.7	80	726
W1F8	83	150	1.1	0.2	0.2	89	2.5	24	0.9	74	714
W2F1	84	151	1.5	0.4	0.3	78	2.4	23	0.8	83	951
W2F2	84	151	1.4	0.4	0.5	77	2.5	27	0.9	81	1020
W2F3	84	151	1.5	0.4	0.5	81	2.6	30	1.0	81	1072
W2F4	83	151	1.5	0.5	0.4	80	2.5	31	1.0	84	1033
W2F5	83	152	1.4	0.4	0.3	82	2.6	28	1.0	83	996
W2F6	83	151	1.5	0.5	0.3	84	2.7	31	1.1	82	1011
W2F7	83	150	1.5	0.4	0.4	81	2.8	33	1.2	82	1080
W2F8	83	151	1.4	0.5	0.4	83	2.6	28	1.0	80	1048
W3F1	84	153	1.6	0.4	0.4	78	2.4	29	1.1	76	1075
W3F2	83	153	1.5	0.3	0.3	80	2.3	28	1.0	78	981
W3F3	84	153	1.5	0.4	0.3	80	2.4	29	1.0	77	1035
W3F4	83	152	1.5	0.5	0.3	82	2.3	26	1.0	79	1030
W3F5	83	153	1.5	0.5	0.3	83	2.4	32	1.2	77	1028
W3F6	83	152	1.5	0.5	0.2	83	2.4	31	1.1	77	1092
W3F7	83	152	1.5	0.4	0.2	80	2.4	28	1.0	77	993
W3F8	83	153	1.5	0.4	0.3	82	2.4	30	1.1	78	1036
W4F1	84	152	1.6	0.5	0.4	78	2.3	28	1.0	79	1082
W4F2	83	152	1.5	0.5	0.4	79	2.5	30	1.0	80	1039
W4F3	84	152	1.6	0.5	0.4	79	2.7	34	1.2	79	1111
W4F4	83	152	1.5	0.5	0.4	80	2.6	35	1.2	81	1151
W4F5	83	152	1.6	0.6	0.4	81	2.4	29	1.0	82	1205
W4F6	84	152	1.6	0.6	0.3	83	2.6	31	1.0	79	1196
W4F7	84	152	1.6	0.6	0.3	81	2.6	34	1.1	80	1170
W4F8	84	152	1.6	0.6	0.3	83	2.7	32	1.1	81	1189
Mean	83	152	1.4	0.4	0.3	82	2.4	28.0	1.0	79	987
LSD (5%)	0.57	1.19	0.13	0.17	NS	3.4	0.27	4.6	NS	5.2	NS
CV%	1.21	1.38	16.49	28.31	33.57	7.33	19.41	29.2	25.6	11.6	22.59

W1=No weeding, W2=Once weeding at 30 to 35 days after sowing, W3=Once weeding at 55 to 60 days after sowing, W4=Twice weeding at W2 and W3; F1=No fertilizer, F2=11.5/11.5 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F3=11.5/23 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F4=23/11.5 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F5=23/23 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F6=23/34.5 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F7=34.5/23 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F8=34.5/34.5 kg/ha N and P₂O₅; PM=Powdery mildew; PA=Pasmo; PH=Plant height; T/pl=Number of tillers/plant; P/pl=Number of pods/plant; Y/pl=Seed yield/plant; Yld=Seed yield (kg/ha);NS=non significant at 0.05 probability level.

study revealed that W4F5 treatment resulted in 9, 38 and 43% increment in number of tillers/plant, number of pods/plant and seed yield/plant, respectively as compared to the control (W1F1). Similarly, W3F5 treatment resulted in 52 and 71% increment in number of pods/plant and seed yield/plant, respectively compared to the control (Table 5). Besides, number of tillers/plant, number of pods/plant and seed yield/plant were

increased by 18, 33 and 43%, respectively when treatment W2F5 was used compared to the control. Moreover, W2F5, W3F5 and W4F5 treatments resulted in 31, 35 and 58% seed yield advantage, respectively over the control. Furthermore, W4F6 treatment resulted in 18, 48 and 43% increment in number of tillers/plant, number of pods/plant and seed yield/plant, respectively as compared to the control. Thus, the present results

Table 6. Effect of weeding frequencies on yield and yield components of linseed in 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 at Asasa, Bekoji, Kulumsa and Kofele

Weeding frequency	PH (cm)	T/pl	P/pl	Y/pl	Yld
W1	86	2.3	22	0.8	747
W2	81	2.6	29	1.0	1026
W3	81	2.4	29	1.1	1034
W4	80	2.6	32	1.1	1143
Mean	82	2.5	28	1.0	988

W1=No weeding, W2=Once weeding at 30 to 35 days after sowing, W3=Once weeding at 55 to 60 days after sowing, W4=Twice weeding at W2 and W3; PH=Plant height; T/pl=Number of tillers/plant; P/pl=Number of pods/plant; Y/pl=Seed yield/plant; Yld=Seed yield (kg/ha).

showed that treatments W4F5 to W4F6 were superior agronomic practices for linseed production in South-Eastern highlands of Ethiopia.

Effect of weeding frequencies

Results showed that twice hand weeding significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) increased yield components like number of tillers/plant, number of pods/plant, seed yield/plant and final seed yield as compared to unweeded check. When we compare 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 cropping seasons, the 2010/2011 was by far better in terms of productivity than the 2009/2010 due to bad weather condition of the 2009/2010 cropping season which was mainly manifested by heavy and prolonged rainfall that in turn affected land preparation, enhanced flowers defoliation, reduced efficiency of photosynthesis and created suitable condition for diseases severity and incidence. Eventually, the 2009/2010 cropping season is being remembered by bread wheat yellow rust disease epidemic whereby highly popular varieties like '*Kubsa*' and '*Galama*' were badly affected from highland to mid-land and from their seedling stage throughout grain filling period throughout the country and linseed was not special.

Combined results of the two cropping seasons have shown that twice hand weeding resulted in 45 and 38% increment in number of pods/plant and seed yield/plant as compared to unweeded check (Table 6). Besides, twice hand weeding brought 13% increase in number of tillers/plant in comparison to the control. In line with the present findings, El Naim et al. (2010) reported that weeds significantly reduced the vegetative growth attributes measured. Similarly, number of pods/plant, seed yield and 1000-seed weight were significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) influenced by time and weeding frequencies (Meseret et al., 2008).

Likewise, twice hand weeding produced 396 kg/ha seed yield advantage over the control. In other words, 53% seed yield increment of linseed was achieved by employing W4 treatment as compared to unweeded

check. Supporting evidences were reported in different crops by El Naim et al. (2010) and Tolera and Daba (2004). Similar to the present results weeding twice had the highest number of pods/plant, 100-seed weight, seed yield/plant and final seed yield (El Naim et al., 2010). On the other hand, twice hand weeding negatively affected plant height. In other words, twice hand weeding decreased plant height by 8% as compared to unweeded check. This might be due to the fact that the crop used its energy for tillering, branching and pods setting rather than for height increment, which finally have more positive effect on the final product, seed yield. This result did not agree with reports of Alemayehu et al. (2008) and El Naim et al. (2010), as they found that increasing weeding times significantly increased plant height.

Effect of N and P fertilizer rates

Significant difference ($P \leq 0.05$) was observed on yield components like plant height, number of tillers/plant and number of pods/plant due to application of different rates of N and P fertilizer for combined years over locations (Table 7). On the other hand, significant differences were not observed for seed yield/plant for individual as well as combined years. These results agreed with Khajani et al. (2012). They found that increased N and P fertilizer rates significantly increased pods and branches number. In general, the present results showed that responses of linseed to fertilizer rates was very low compared to its responses to weeding frequencies. These results were in good agreement with the reports of Getinet and Nigussie (1997). In other words, as fertilizer rates vary from F1 to F8, the observed difference was low on yield as well as yield components. Yet, the highest rate gave the highest plant height, the highest number of tillers/plant and number of pods/plant. Similar to the present findings, plant height exhibited positive response to applications of high rates of N fertilizer (Genene et al., 2006). The authors also reported that only stand count and 1000-seed weight increased with increased P rates, while most of the other agronomic parameters showed inconsistent

Table 7. Effect of N and P fertilizer rates on yield and yield components of linseed in 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 at Asasa, Bekoji, Kulumsa and Kofele.

Fertilizer rate	PH (cm)	T/pl	P/pl	Y/pl	Yld
F1	79	2.3	25.4	0.89	967
F2	80	2.4	26.8	0.93	959
F3	81	2.5	28.9	1.00	997
F4	81	2.4	27.7	0.95	989
F5	82	2.4	28.0	0.99	987
F6	84	2.4	28.8	1.00	1011
F7	82	2.6	29.5	1.01	992
F8	84	2.5	28.9	1.02	997
LSD (0.05)	2.1	0.2	2.4	NS	NS
Mean	82	2.4	28.0	0.97	987

F1=No fertilizer, F2=11.5/11.5 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F3=11.5/23 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F4=23/11.5 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F5=23/23 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F6=23/34.5 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F7=34.5/23 kg/ha N and P₂O₅, F8=34.5/34.5 kg/ha N and P₂O₅; NS=non significant at 0.05 probability level.

Table 8. Partial and marginal budget analysis for treatments for combined years.

Treatment	W1F1	W1F5	W2F5	W3F5	W4F5
Average yield (qt/ha)	7.61	7.21	9.96	10.28	12.05
Correction factor (deduct 10%)	0.761	0.721	0.996	1.028	1.205
Adjusted yield (qt/ha)	6.85	6.49	8.96	9.25	10.84
Gross field benefits (birr/ha)	12328.2	11680.2	16135.2	16653.6	19521
Cost of labour for hand weeding	0	0	800	800	1600
Cost of fertilizer	0	1090	1090	1090	1090
Cost of labor to apply fertilizer	0	100	100	100	100
Total cost that vary	0	1190	1990	1990	2790
Net benefits (birr/ha)	12328.2	10490.2	14145.2	14663.6	16731
Gain in net benefits	0	-1838	1817	2335.4	4402.8
Net benefit/cost that vary	-	-	0.91	1.17	1.58

Price of DAP per 100 kg=1400 birr; price of Urea per 100 kg=1300 birr; price of linseed grain per 100 kg=1800 birr; price of labor=50 birr/day; labor of hand weeding=16 days/ha=800 birr; labor of twice hand weeding=1600 birr; and labor to apply fertilizer for a ha=100 birr.

trends. Moreover, Genene et al. (2006) reported the absence of discernible effects of applications of N and P fertilizers on the number of pods/plant, which did not agree with the present investigation. According to these authors, both the main and interaction effects of N and P rates were inconsistent on several yield components. Yet, 11.5 and 34.5 kg N/P₂O₅ per ha was determined as optimum fertilizer application for linseed production under Bale conditions (Genene et al., 2006) (Table 8).

Data of combined locations and years showed 4 and 9% increment in plant height and number of tillers/plant as fertilizer rate was increased from F1 to F5 and F8, respectively. Similarly, number of pods/plant and seed yield/plant were increased by 10 and 15% as fertilizer rates increased from F1 to F5 and F8, respectively. However, only 2 and 5% seed yield advantage was obtained when F5 and F6 treatments were used as

compared to the control (Table 7).

Partial and marginal budget analysis of treatments

For a treatment to be considered as a worthwhile option to farmers, the marginal rate of return (MRR) needs to be at least between 50 and 100% (CIMMYT, 1988). However, for the present study, 100% MRR was considered as a reasonable minimum acceptable rate since farmers in the study areas usually neither practice weeding nor apply fertilizer for linseed production (Abebe et al., 2011). The partial budget analysis in the present study indicated that high MRR (210 to 222%) was obtained by applying 23/34.5 kg/ha N/P₂O₅ (F6) with twice hand weeding (W4) and 23/23 kg/ha N/P₂O₅ (F5) with twice hand weeding, respectively (Tables 9 and 11).

Table 9. Partial and marginal budget analysis for 2010/2011.

Treatment	W1F1	W1F5	W2F5	W3F5	W4F5
Average yield	8.69	7.66	11.15	11.2	14.23
Correction factor (10%)	0.87	0.77	1.12	1.12	1.42
Adjusted yield	7.82	6.89	10.04	10.08	12.81
Gross field benefits (birr/ha)	14077.8	12409.2	18063	18144	23052.6
Cost of labour for hand weeding	0	0	800	800	1600
Cost of fertilizer	0	1090	1090	1090	1090
Cost of labor to apply fertilizer	0	100	100	100	100
Total cost that vary	0	1190	1990	1990	2790
Net benefits (birr/ha)	14077.8	11219.2	16073	16154	20262.6
Gain in net benefits	0	-2858.6	1995.2	2076.2	6184.8
Net benefit/cost that vary	-	-	1.00	1.04	2.22

Price of DAP per 100 kg=1400 birr; price of Urea per 100 kg=1300 birr; price of linseed grain per 100 kg=1800 birr; price of labor=50 birr/day; labor of hand weeding=16 days/ha=800 birr; labor of twice hand weeding=1600 birr; and labor to apply fertilizer for a ha=100 birr.

Table 10. Partial and marginal budget analysis for combined years.

Treatment	W1F1	W1F6	W2F6	W3F6	W4F6
Average yield	7.61	7.43	10.11	10.92	11.96
Correction factor (10%)	0.76	0.74	1.01	1.09	1.20
Adjusted yield	6.85	6.69	9.10	9.83	10.76
Gross field benefits (birr/ha)	12328.2	12036.6	16378.2	17690.4	19375.2
Cost of labour for hand weeding	0	0	800	800	1600
Cost of fertilizer	0	1323	1323	1323	1323
Cost of labor to apply fertilizer	0	100	100	100	100
Total cost that vary	0	1423	2223	2223	3023
Net benefits (birr/ha)	12328.2	10613.6	14155.2	15467.4	16352.2
Gain in net benefits	0	-1714.6	1827	3139.2	4024
Net benefit/cost that vary	-	-	0.82	1.41	1.33

Price of DAP per 100 kg=1400 birr; price of Urea per 100 kg=1300 birr; price of linseed grain per 100 kg=1800 birr; price of labor=50 birr/day; labor of hand weeding=16 days/ha=800 birr; labor of twice hand weeding=1600 birr; and labor to apply fertilizer for a ha=100 birr.

This means that the income obtained by applying F5/F6 with W4 for linseed was more than 2.22/2.10 times a unit total NP fertilizer and twice weeding cost. This analysis was done by considering only grain yield of linseed. If we add the value of the chaff, the straw, meal, pests cycle break, the return will obviously become more than the already estimated income. This is in line with the findings of Kedir et al. (2005), where by seeding rate of 25 to 30 kg/ha combined with twice hand weeding gave optimum seed yield and recommended for linseed production under Bale conditions.

Soil analysis

Soil analysis of the experimental sites indicated that many soils were sup-optimal for the production of linseed since the pH, available N and available P were below

optimum range, thereby affecting the response of seed yield to applied P (Getachew and Sommer, 2000; KARC, 2013). Soil analysis results revealed that available soil P was low for Kofele, Bekoji and Kulumsa, but medium for Asasa according to KARC (2013). Besides, available soil N was medium for Asasa and Kulumsa, but fair for Bekoji and high for Kofele (Tables 1 and 2). Thus, soil analysis results showed that soils of Kofele and Bekoji require high DAP but low urea. On the other hand, soils of Asasa and Kulumsa require high Urea but low DAP. In addition, Kofele and Bekoji soils were strongly acidic, but that of Kulumsa and Asasa were moderately acidic. In several cases, soils with pH lower than 5.5 are not suitable for linseed production unless they are reclaimed with lime (Getinet and Nigussie, 1997; Adugna, 2007). In such soils, the proportion of P fertilizer that could immediately be available to the crop becomes inadequate and residues of the fertilizer may be released very slowly

Table 11. Partial and marginal budget analysis for 2010/2011.

Treatment	W1F1	W1F6	W2F6	W3F6	W4F6
Average yield	8.69	7.74	12.17	12.02	14.47
Correction factor (10%)	0.87	0.77	1.22	1.20	1.45
Adjusted yield	7.82	6.97	10.95	10.82	13.02
Gross field benefits (birr/ha)	14077.8	12538.8	19715.4	19472.4	23441.4
Cost of labour for hand weeding	0	0	800	800	1600
Cost of fertilizer	0	1323	1323	1323	1323
Cost of labor to apply fertilizer	0	100	100	100	100
Total cost that vary	0	1423	2223	2223	3023
Net benefits (birr/ha)	14077.8	11115.8	17492.4	17249.4	20418.4
Gain in net benefits	0	-2962	3414.6	3171.6	6340.6
Net benefit/cost that vary	-	-	1.54	1.43	2.10

Price of DAP per 100 kg=1400 birr; price of Urea per 100 kg=1300 birr; price of linseed grain per 100 kg=1800 birr; price of labor=50 birr/day; labor of hand weeding=16 days/ha=800 birr; labor of twice hand weeding=1600 birr; and labor to apply fertilizer for a ha=100 birr.

(Sikora et al., 1991). Varieties may differ in their ability to grow in soils of low P status, Getinet and Nigussie (1997) reported that linseed grows best in soils with pH 5.5 to 6.6 and marginally grown in soils with pH 4.9 to 5.5 and in soils with pH 6.6 to 7.6.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In Ethiopia, cultivation and usage of linseed is an old practice. Besides, the crop is being used for many purposes; including as a source of food, feed, cash, medicine, raw-material for industries and export commodity. Despite its multipurpose usage and large areas allocation for its production, its productivity, quality and marketing is still low, largely due to sub-optimal management practices of which absence of weeds control and absence of fertilizer application are the major ones. Linseed is currently marginalized and being produced under rainfed, minimum care and low input. These situations should be diverted in order to improve income, livelihood and health of farmers, minimize shortage of cooking oil, supply emerging agro-industries and markets, and benefit the country at large.

The results of the present study showed that twice hand weeding produced 53% seed yield advantage over unweeded check. Likewise, twice hand weeding resulted in 13, 45 and 38% increment in number of tillers/plant, number of pods/plant and seed yield/plant, respectively as compared to unweeded check. On the other hand, the present results showed that response of linseed to fertilizer application was low as compared to its response to weeding frequencies. In fact, W4F5 and W4F6 treatments produced more than 50% seed yield advantage over the control. Moreover, these treatments resulted in more than 35% increment in yield components like number of pods/plant and seed yield/plant as compared to the control. Furthermore, the partial budget

analysis in the present study indicated that high marginal rate of return (210 to 222%) was obtained by applying 23/34.5 kg/ha N/P₂O₅ (F6) with twice hand weeding (W4) and 23/23 kg/ha N/P₂O₅ (F5) with twice hand weeding, respectively. In other words, application of 75 kg/ha DAP and 21 kg/ha urea along with twice hand weeding, and application of 50 kg/ha DAP and 30 kg/ha urea together with twice hand weeding gave optimum seed yield and yield components of linseed and hence farmers have to follow these agronomic recommendations for linseed production in South-Eastern highlands of Ethiopia. Further investigation has to be carried out on farmers fields in order to further confirm the present results.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Mrs Shimelis Mebrate, Solomon Atinafu, Dawit Tedecha and Kasaye Shewol and Mss Tizita Mekonin for their assistance in trials management and data collection. Our appreciation is also due to services of the analytical soil laboratory of Kulumsa Agricultural Research Center. Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research financed this study.

REFERENCES

- Abebe D, Birhane A, Workiye T, Adane C (2011). Prevalence of Weeds in Linseed Fields and Farmers Cultural Practices in Producing Linseed. In: G. Terefa, A. Wakjira and D. Gorfu (eds.). Oilseeds: Engine for Economic Development. Ethiopian Inst. of Agric. Res., Addis Ababa. pp. 299-302.
- Aduana W (2007). Linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) In: H.A.M. van der Vossen and G.S. Mkamilo (eds.) Vegetable oils and fats, Plant

- Resources of Tropical Africa (PROTA) no. 14, PROTA foundation, Wageningen, Netherlands. pp.108-115.
- Alemayehu A, Minale L, Tilahun T, Belsti Y (2008). The effect of tillage frequency and weed control on yield of Tef (*Eragrostis tef*) in Yelmana-Densa area, Northwestern Ethiopia. *J. Afr. Earth Sci.* 2(1):35-40.
- Altai F (2010). Flax Seed Cake. Scientific and Production Association 'Altai Flax' LLC. Russia.
- Bridges DC (1992). Crop losses due to weeds in the United States. Weed Science Society of America, Lawrence, KS.
- Budwig J (1994). Linseed and Linseed Oil. An internet record from <http://www.numarkharmacist.com/hn/Supp/Flaxseed.htm>.
- Buhler DD (2002). Challenges and opportunities for integrated weed management. *Weed Sci.* 50:273-280.
- CIMMYT (1988). From agronomic data to farmers recommendations: An economic training manual. Completely revised edition. Mexico, D.F.
- Connor WE (2000). Importance of n-3 fatty acids in health and disease. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 71:171-175.
- CSA (Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia) (2009). Agricultural sample survey report on area and production of crops. *Stat. Bul.* 1(446).
- El Naim AM, Mona AE, Abdu EA (2010). Effect of weeding frequencies and plant density on the vegetative growth characteristics in the groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in the North Kordofan of Sudan. *Int. J. Appl. Biol. Pharm.* 3:1188-1193.
- Genene G, Habtamu S, Kedir N, Tilahun G, Ashinie B (2006). Response of linseed to nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers in the highlands of Bale, South-eastern Ethiopia. *Sebil. Proceedings of the 12th Annual Conference of the Crop Science Society of Ethiopia* 22-24 May 2006, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 12:117-125.
- Getachew A, Abraham F, Temesgen D (2006). Response of field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) to P fertilizer and weed control on Nitosols of the central highlands of Ethiopia. *Sebil. Proceedings of the 12th Annual Conference of the Crop Science Society of Ethiopia*, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 12:66-74.
- Getachew A, Sommer K (2000). Optimization of the efficiency of Phosphate fertilizers in acidic-ferrallitic soils of the humid tropics. *Ethiopian J. Nat. Res.* 2:63-77.
- Getinet A, Nigussie A (1997). Highland oil crops: A three decade research experience in Ethiopia. Research report No. 30. Institute of Agric. Res. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- KARC (Kulumsa Agricultural Research Center, Land and Water Research Process) (2013).
- KARC (Kulumsa Agricultural Research Center, Highland Oil Crops Research Project) (2012).
- Kedir N, Tilahun G, Feyissa T (2005). Effect of seed rate on seed yield and yield components of linseed under different frequencies of hand weeding. *Arem, Ethiopian Weed Science Society Conference.* 6:93-103.
- Khajani FP, Irannezhad H, Majidian M, Oraki H (2012). Influence of different levels of N, P and K on yield and yield components of flax seed oil (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Variety Lirina. J. Med. Plants Res.* 6(6):1050-1054.
- Meseret N, Tadesse B, Teshome B (2008). Effect of frequency and time of hand weeding in haricot bean (*Phaseolous vulgaris* L.) production at Bako. *Ethiopian J. of weed management.* 2:59-69.
- Rezene F (1992). Weed Science Research on Noug, Linseed and Rapeseed in Ethiopia. *In: Oilseeds Research and Development in Ethiopia, First National Oilseeds workshop, 3-5 December 1991, A.A, Ethiopia.* pp.136-148.
- Ross MA, Lembi CA (1985). *Applied Weed Science.* Macmillan Publishing Co., New York. 340 p.
- SAS Institute (2004). *SAS/STAT guide for personal computers, version 9, edition.* Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- Sikora FJ, Copeland JP, Mullins GL, Bartos JM (1991). Phosphorus dissolution kinetics and bio-availability of water available fractions from mono-ammonium phosphate fertilizers. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 53:362-368.
- Tolera A, Daba F (2004). Effect of ploughing frequency, seed rate and weeding frequency on Agronomic parameters of faba bean on farmers' field around Shambu, Western Oromiya. *Sebil. Proceedings of the 11th Annual Conference of the Crop Science Society of Ethiopia* 26-28 April 2004, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. pp. 124-134.
- Vaisey-Genser M, Morris DH (2010). *Flaxseed: Health Nutrition and Functionality.* Flax Council of Canada, Winnipeg, Manitoba.



Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science*
- *African Journal of Agricultural Research*
- *Journal of Horticulture and Forestry*
- *International Journal of Livestock Production*
- *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management*
- *Journal of Stored Products and Postharvest Research*

academicJournals